

# Appendix A

## Set theory and functions

### A.1 Sets

We assume you have a working knowledge of (informal) set theory. Here, we only give a brief review.

#### Notation and definitions

A *set*  $S$  is a collection of *elements*. If  $x$  is an element of the set  $S$ , we write  $x \in S$ . Otherwise, we write  $x \notin S$ . An element of  $S$  may also be called a *member* or a *point* of  $S$  (or in  $S$ ), and we may write that  $S$  *contains*  $x$ .

The set with no elements is called the *empty set* and is denoted  $\emptyset$ . A set with at least one element is *nonempty*. Other sets with standard names are:

- $\mathbb{R}$  the set of all real numbers
- $\mathbb{Z}$  the set of all integers
- $\mathbb{N}$  the set of all positive integers
- $\mathbb{Q}$  the set of all rational numbers
- $\emptyset$  the set of all irrational numbers

A set can be described by listing its elements, as in  $A := \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9\}$ . (We use the symbol  $:=$  when we define a mathematical object as equal to an already known or defined object, as in “ $A := \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9\}$ ” above. Occasionally we also use it as a reminder that an equality is by definition, and that no computations or deep thinking are needed to justify it.)

More often, a set is described by a rule, for example,

$$B := \{ k \in \mathbb{N} \mid k \text{ leaves a remainder of } 3 \text{ when divided by } 5 \}, \quad (\text{A.1.1})$$

where the vertical line means “such that” (some authors use a colon rather than vertical line).

If  $a, b$  are real numbers and  $a \leq b$ , we define  $[a, b] := \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a \leq x \leq b \}$  and  $[a, b) := \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid a \leq x < b \}$ . Similar definitions apply to  $(a, b]$  and  $(a, b)$ .

Let  $A, B$  be sets. The notation  $A \subset B$  means that whenever  $x \in A$ , then  $x \in B$ . In this case,  $A$  is said to be a *subset* of  $B$ . Thus,  $\emptyset \subset A$  for all  $A$ . If  $A \subset B$  and  $B \subset A$ , we write  $A = B$  and say that  $A$  and  $B$  are *equal* (or “the same”). Of course,  $A = B$  if and only if  $B = A$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are not equal, we write  $A \neq B$ . If  $A \subset B$  but  $A \neq B$ , then  $A$  is a *proper subset* of  $B$ , denoted by  $A \subsetneq B$ . The *complement of  $B$  in  $A$* , denoted  $A \setminus B$ , is the set of all elements in  $A$  that are not in  $B$ . Thus  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$ . Some authors denote the complement of  $B$  in  $A$  by  $A - B$ .

### Operations on sets

If  $A$  and  $B$  are sets, then their *union*  $A \cup B$  and their *intersection*  $A \cap B$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A \cup B &:= \{x \mid x \text{ is in } A \text{ or } B \text{ or both}\} \\ A \cap B &:= \{x \mid x \text{ is in both } A \text{ and } B\} \end{aligned} \tag{A.1.2}$$

(In the definition of  $\cup$ , we could omit “or both”, since in mathematics, “or” is always inclusive; in mathematical usage, “would you like tea or coffee?” could be answered, “I’d like both tea and coffee”.)

The sets  $A$  and  $B$  are *disjoint* if  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a collection of sets. Then the elements in  $\mathcal{F}$  are *pairwise disjoint* if any two distinct elements in  $\mathcal{F}$  are disjoint.

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a collection of sets, then  $\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F$  denotes the union of all sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F$  denotes the intersection of all sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ :

$$\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F := \{x \mid x \in G \text{ for some } G \in \mathcal{F}\}, \tag{A.1.3}$$

$$\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F := \{x \mid x \in G \text{ for each } G \in \mathcal{F}\}. \tag{A.1.4}$$

When  $\mathcal{F}$  has only finitely many sets  $F_1, \dots, F_n$ , then  $\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F$  is often written as  $F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_n$  or  $\bigcup_{k=1}^n F_k$ ; similarly,  $\bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F$  is often written as  $F_1 \cap \dots \cap F_n$  or  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n F_k$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . An *ordered  $n$ -tuple* is an expression of the form  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . Two ordered  $n$ -tuples  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$  are *equal* if and only if  $a_k = b_k$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . When  $n = 2$ , an ordered  $n$ -tuple is called an *ordered pair*. If

$A_1, \dots, A_n$  are nonempty sets, the *Cartesian product*

$A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$  is

$$A_1 \times \dots \times A_n := \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_k \in A_k \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

When  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are all equal to the same set  $A$ , then  $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$  is often written  $A^n$ . Thus,  $A^2 := A \times A$ ,  $A^3 := A \times A \times A$ , and so on.

## A.2 Relations

**Definition A.2.1 (Binary relation).** Let  $A$  be a nonempty set. A *binary relation*  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $A$  is any subset of  $A^2 := A \times A$ . If  $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}$ , we write

$$a \mathcal{R} b.$$

We need to impose some properties on  $\mathcal{R}$  for it to be of interest. One possibility is that  $\mathcal{R}$  encodes some kind of order. In this case, it is usually denoted by  $\preceq$ .

**Definition A.2.2 (Partial order).** A *partial order*  $\preceq$  on  $A$  is a binary relation on  $A$  satisfying the following properties:

1.  $a \preceq b$  and  $b \preceq c$  imply  $a \preceq c$ .
2.  $a \preceq a$  for all  $a \in A$ .
3. If  $a \preceq b$  and  $b \preceq a$ , then  $a = b$ .

**Examples A.2.3. (Partial order).**

1. Let  $\preceq := \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \leq y \}$ . Then  $\preceq$  is a partial order on  $\mathbb{R}$ .
2. Let  $S$  be any set and let  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  be the collection of all subsets of  $S$ . Then

$$\preceq := \{ (E, F) \mid E \text{ and } F \text{ are subsets of } S, E \subset F \}$$

is a partial order on  $\mathcal{P}(S)$ .  $\triangle$

If  $\preceq$  is a partial order on  $A$ , then  $A$  is *partially ordered* by  $\preceq$ . Thus part 2 above says that the collection of all subsets of a given set is partially ordered by *set inclusion*.

**Definition A.2.4 (Total order).** Let  $A$  be partially ordered by  $\preceq$ . A subset  $B$  of  $A$  is *totally ordered* (by  $\preceq$ ) if for every  $x$  and  $y$  in  $B$ , either  $x \preceq y$  or  $y \preceq x$ .

**Examples A.2.5. (Total order).**

1. If  $\preceq := \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \leq y \}$ , any subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is totally ordered by  $\preceq$ .
2. Let  $S := \{a, b\}$  and let  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  and  $\preceq$  be as in part 2 of Examples A.2.3. Then  $\{\{a\}, \{b\}\}$  is not totally ordered by  $\preceq$ .  $\triangle$

**Definition A.2.6 (Upper bound).** Let  $A$  be partially ordered by  $\preceq$  and let  $B \subset A$ . An *upper bound* for  $B$  is an element  $a \in A$  such that  $b \preceq a$  for all  $b \in B$ .

**Example A.2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{P}(S)$  and  $\preceq$  be as in part 2 of Examples A.2.3. Then  $\{a, b\}$  is an upper bound for  $\{\{a\}, \emptyset\}$  and  $\{\{a\}, \{b\}\}$ .  $\triangle$

**Definition A.2.8 (Maximal element).** Let  $A$  be partially ordered by  $\preceq$  and let  $B \subset A$ . A *maximal element* of  $B$  is an element  $x_0$  in  $B$  such that whenever  $b \in B$  and  $x_0 \preceq b$ , then  $b = x_0$ .

**Example A.2.9.** Let  $\preceq := \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid a \text{ is a factor of } b\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{N}$  is partially ordered by  $\preceq$ . Let  $A := \{3, 5, 7, 11, 14, 42\}$ . Then 5, 11, and 42 are maximal elements of  $A$ . But 3, 7, and 14 are not maximal elements of  $A$  since  $3 \preceq 42$ ,  $7 \preceq 14$ , and  $14 \preceq 42$ . If each member of a set  $B$  is a prime number, then every member of  $B$  is a maximal element of  $B$ . The set  $C := \{2, 4, 6, 8, \dots\}$  has no maximal elements and no element of  $\mathbb{N}$  is an upper bound for  $C$ .  $\triangle$

## Equivalence relations

A binary relation may also encode a notion of “sameness”. It is often simpler to recognize the main features of a set  $X$  by grouping together similar elements of  $X$ . For example, the set  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers can be partitioned into three groups:

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \text{ is divisible by } 3\}, \\ B &:= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \text{ leaves a remainder of } 1 \text{ when divided by } 3\}, \\ C &:= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n \text{ leaves a remainder of } 2 \text{ when divided by } 3\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2.1})$$

This idea of partitioning a set into groups consisting of similar objects is useful because then we are no longer distracted by the individual differences between elements of  $X$  but can focus on the main features of  $X$  as a whole. This is the idea behind “equivalence relations”.

**Definition A.2.10 (Equivalence relation).** An *equivalence relation* on  $X$  is a binary relation  $\sim$  on  $X$  such that the following properties are satisfied:

1. Reflexivity:  $x \sim x$  for all  $x \in X$ .
2. Symmetry:  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $y \sim x$ .
3. Transitivity: If  $x \sim y$  and  $y \sim z$ , then  $x \sim z$ .

**Examples A.2.11.** 1. Define a binary relation  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  by  $a \mathcal{R} b$  if and only if  $a - b$  is divisible by 3. Then it is easy to see that  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfies properties 1 and 2 in Definition A.2.10. If  $x \mathcal{R} y$  and  $y \mathcal{R} z$ , then  $x - y = 3k$  and  $y - z = 3\ell$  for some integers  $k, \ell$ . Thus,  $x - z = 3(k + \ell)$ , so that  $x - z$  is divisible by 3 also. Hence,  $x \mathcal{R} z$ . So  $\mathcal{R}$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus we could write  $a \sim b$ , but in number theory this relation is usually denoted  $a \equiv b \pmod{3}$ .

2. Let  $L$  be the line in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  given by the equation  $y = x$ . Define  $\mathbf{a} \mathcal{R} \mathbf{b}$  to mean that  $\mathbf{a} := \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{b} := \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  are points such that  $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 - b_1 \\ a_2 - b_2 \end{pmatrix}$  lies on  $L$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}$  satisfies property 1 because  $\mathbf{0}$  lies on  $L$ . Of course,

$$\mathbf{a} \mathcal{R} \mathbf{b} \iff a_2 - b_2 = a_1 - b_1 \iff b_2 - a_2 = b_1 - a_1 \iff \mathbf{b} \mathcal{R} \mathbf{a}.$$